

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION 1	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,703 08/19/2003		08/19/2003	Louis A. Pena	30817-1008-CIP	7990
5179	7590	06/23/2006		EXAMINER	
	CK MYER	•	DANG, IAN D		
201 THIRD STREET, N.W. SUITE 1340				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALBUQ	UERQUE, N	NM 87102	1647		
				DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/644,703	PENA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	lan Dang	1647
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/644,703

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-7, drawn to a synthetic heparin-binding growth factor analog of formula(I), classified in class 514, subclass 12+.
- II. Claims 8-20, 27-45, drawn to a synthetic heparin-binding growth factor analog of formula (II), classified in class 514, subclass 12+.
- III. Claim 21, drawn to heparin-binding growth factor analog of formula (III), classified in class 514, subclass 12+.
- IV. Claims 22 and 23, drawn to a synthetic heparin-binding growth factor analog of formula (IV), classified in class 514, subclass 12+.
- V. Claim 46, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising the heparin-binding growth factor analog of formula II, classified in class 514, subclass 12+.
- VI. Claim 47-50, drawn to a method of treating a mammal for radiation exposure using a synthetic heparin-binding growth factor analog of formula (II), classified in class 514, subclass 12+.
- VII. Claims 51-53, drawn to a method for stimulating growth factor receptor signaling in a cell using a synthetic heparin-binding growth factor analog of Formula (II), classified in class 514, subclass 12+.
- VIII. Claims 54-59, drawn to a method for delivering the synthetic heparin-binding growth factor analog using a coated medical device, classified in class 514, subclass 12+.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,703

Art Unit: 1647

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

All inventions embrace multiple patentably distinct formulas. Applicants are required to elect a particular X (e.g. an amino acid sequence of FGF-1) and a particular Z (e.g. SEQ ID NO:2) for examination. Examination of the claims will be limited to the elected sequence. Each X and each Z can be shown to be structurally distinct because they possess different structures and functions.

Inventions I-V are independent and distinct, each from the other, because they are products, which possess characteristic differences in structures and functions.

Inventions II and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the method for treating a mammal for radiation exposure can be done with a bone marrow transplant.

Inventions II and VII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the method for stimulating heparin-binding growth factor receptor can be done with neuregulin or epidermal growth factor.

Inventions II and VIII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/644,703

Art Unit: 1647

§ 806.05(h). In the instant case, an anticancer agent can be delivered with a coated medical device.

The methods of groups VI-VIII can be shown to be distinct as they each have different starting materials, methods steps, and/or goals. Each of the methods can be shown to be distinct from the each of the products in that the product is either not used by the method or can be used in multiple methods.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02) and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and the necessity of non-coextensive non-patent literature searches, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim

will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder**. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ian Dang whose telephone number is (571) 272-5014. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9am to 5pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on (571) 272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,703 Page 6

Art Unit: 1647

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

lan Dang Patent Examiner Art Unit 1647 June 19, 2006

Marianne P. Allen
PRIMARY EXAMINER 6/39/06